

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re the Application of:) Group Art Unit: 3611
CASE)
Serial No.: 10/697,681) Confirmation No. 9829
)
Filed: October 29, 2003)
Atty. File No.: 4988-1)
For: READERBOARD SYSTEM)
)
) I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS
) BEING TRANSMITTED VIA EFS-WEB PURSUANT TO
) §1.6(a)(4) ON September 25, 2007

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

BY: 

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313

Dear Sir:

On September 20, 2007, the undersigned and the inventor of the claimed invention, Patrick Case, engaged in a telephone interview with Examiner Davis. During the telephone interview, the claimed invention and in particular Claims 26 and 27 were discussed. In addition, it was noted by the applicant that the references cited in the latest Office Action, U.S. Patent No. 6,216,375 to Griffin and U.S. Patent No. 4,035,940 to Mickey, and the references cited in previous Office Actions, did not teach, suggest or describe the claimed invention, even if those references were considered in combination. The Examiner agreed that new Claims 26 and 27 contained elements that would likely place those claims in condition for allowance. The Examiner also suggested that elements from new Claims 26 and 27 could be added to the other independent claims to place those claims in condition for allowance, if those other independent claims continue to be rejected in their present form. No final agreement regarding allowable subject matter was reached.

Respectfully submitted,

SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: 

Bradley M. Knepper
Registration No. 44,189
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, CO 80202-5141
(303) 863-9700

Date: September 25, 2007